

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,929	10/03/2005	Miguel Lancho Doncel	U 15798-8	6577
140 LADAS & PA	140 7590 05/27/2010 LADAS & PARRY LLP		EXAMINER	
26 WEST 61ST STREET			STERLING, AMY JO	
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination 10/536,929 LANCHO DONCEL, MIGUEL Art Unit 3632

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 24 March 2010. 1. Improper Request - The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s): The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. Other: The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . . 3. Allowable application - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 4. Reopen Prosecution - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. All participants: (1) /AMY J. STERLING/. (3)/Marc .limenez/ (2) /Allen Shriver/. See MPEP 2112.01 where The claimed structure is identical to The load path is considered a structure recited is substantially function of the claim the cited references. identical to th claims, the claimed

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20100521

function is assumed identical